

GRADING GUIDELINES FOR QUANTITATIVE PAPERS

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Is there a clear research question, with a solid motivation behind it?
- 1.2. Is the research question interesting?
- 1.3. After reading the introduction, did you find yourself motivated to read further?
- 1.4. Do the authors motivate the paper/presentation with empirical evidence?

2. Theory

- 2.1. Does the submission contain a well-developed and articulated theoretical framework?
- 2.2. Are the core concepts of the submission clearly defined?
- 2.3. Is the logic behind the hypotheses persuasive?
- 2.4. Is extant literature appropriately reflected in the submission, or are critical references missing?
- 2.5. Do the hypotheses or propositions logically flow from the theory?
- 2.6. Is the analysis owned or borrowed?

3. Method (for empirical papers)

- 3.1. Are the sample and variables appropriate for the hypotheses?
- 3.2. Is the data collection method well explained and consistent with the research approach and design?
- 3.3. Does the study have internal and external validity?
- 3.4. Are the research approach and design appropriate for the theory and research question and were they applied appropriately?

4. Results

- 4.1. Are the results reported in an understandable way?
- 4.2. Are there alternative explanations for the results, and if so, are these adequately controlled for in the analyses?

5. Contribution

- 5.1. Does the submission make a value-added contribution to existing research?
- 5.2. Does the submission stimulate thought or debate?
- 5.3. Do the authors discuss the implications of the work for the scientific and practice community?
- 5.4. Does the submission make an original contribution?

6. Formal aspects

- 6.1. Is the paper spell checked?
- 6.2. Are direct and indirect citations correctly used?
- 6.3. Are scientific journals, books, and textbooks cited?
- 6.4. Are websites restricted to relevant institutions (e.g. statistical offices)?
- 6.5. Is the length criterion satisfied?
- 6.6. Is the paper well written (paragraphs, lack of gaps, colloquial/journalist style avoided, etc.)

GRADING GUIDELINES FOR PRESENTATIONS

1. The content

- 1.1. Motivation
- 1.2. Research question
- 1.3. Framework
- 1.4. Analysis
- 1.5. Results
- 1.6. Contribution

2. The speaker

- 2.1 Interaction with the audience (engaged the audience, looked at everyone?)
 - 1.1. Voice and body language
 - 1.2. Knew the script by heart (stared at the computer, notes or board?)
 - 1.3. Responses to questions (appropriate, friendly, respectful?)
 - 1.4. Timing

3. The slides

- 3.1. Focus and structure
- 3.2. Formalities (typos, font size, readability)
- 3.3. Style (effects)